Contribution ID: 59c9d647-4240-47a7-ada1-433a9914f778

Date: 03/12/2021 14:02:26

Open Public Consultation on the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation

|--|

Introduction

On 25 November 2020, the Commission published a Communication on a Pharmaceutical Strategy for Europe.

The Pharmaceutical Strategy identifies flagship initiatives and other actions to ensure the delivery of tangible results. As part of the implementation of the strategy, the Commission is evaluating the general pharmaceutical legislation¹ and assessing the impacts of possible changes in the legislation as described in the relevant inception impact assessment.

This public consultation aims to collect views of stakeholders and the general public in order to support the evaluation of the existing general pharmaceutical legislation and the impact assessment of its revision. It builds further on the public consultation² conducted for the preparation of the pharmaceutical strategy for Europe. The replies to that consultation will be taken into account for the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The present questionnaire should be seen as a continuation of that process.

In parallel, the legislation for medicines for rare diseases and children is being <u>revised</u> as well. Separate consultation activities have been carried out for that <u>revision</u>.

This questionnaire is available in all EU languages and you can reply in any EU language. You can pause any time and continue later. You can download your contribution once you have submitted your answers.

A summary on the outcome of the public consultation will be published by the Commission services on the <u>'</u> Have your say' portal.

We thank you for your participation.

[1] Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use (OJ L 311, 28.11.2001, p. 67)

Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency (OJ L 136, 30.4.2004, p. 1)

[2] A report analysing the results of the pharmaceutical strategy consultation was published in November 2020.

About you

0	Croatian
	Czech
0	Danish
0	Dutch
•	English
0	Estonian
	Finnish
	French
	German
	Greek
	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
0	Academic/research institution
•	Business association
	Company/business organisation
	Consumer organisation
0	EU citizen
0	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen

*Language of my contribution

Bulgarian

Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*Which stakeholder group do you represent?
Individual member of the public
Patient or consumer organisation
Healthcare professional
Healthcare provider organisation (incl. hospitals, pharmacies)
Healthcare payer
Centralised health goods procurement body
Health technology assessment body
Academic researcher
Research funder
Learned society
European research infrastructure
Other scientific organisation
Environmental organisation
Pharmaceuticals industry
Chemicals industry
Pharmaceuticals traders/wholesalers
Medical devices industry
Public authority (e.g. national ministries of health, medicines agencies, pricing
and reimbursement authorities)
EU regulatory partner / EU institution
Non-EU regulator / non-EU body
Other (Please specify)
If other, please specify:
Drinking Water and Waste Water Services
*First name
Carla

Surname			
CHIARETTI			
*Email (this won't be p	oublished)		
carla.chiaretti@eureau.c	org		
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
EurEau, European Fede	eration of Water Services		
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 em	iployees)		
Small (10 to 49)	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	,		
Large (250 or m	,		
5 (,		
Transparency registe	r number		
255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is	on the transparency regist.	ar It's a voluntary database :	for organisations speking to
influence EU decision-makin		CI. It 3 a voluntary database	or organisations seeking to
39299129772-62			
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of c	origin, or that of your organis	sation.	
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samo	a [©] Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Gui	nea [©] Malawi	© Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	© Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal

	Antarctica	0	Estonia	0	Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and Barbuda	0	Eswatini	0	Mali	0	Seychelles
	Argentina		Ethiopia	0	Malta	0	Sierra Leone
	Armenia		Falkland Islands	0	Marshall Islands	0	Singapore
	Aruba		Faroe Islands	0	Martinique	0	Sint Maarten
	Australia	0	Fiji	0	Mauritania		Slovakia
0	Austria		Finland	0	Mauritius		Slovenia
	Azerbaijan	0	France	0	Mayotte		Solomon Islands
	Bahamas	0	French Guiana	0	Mexico		Somalia
	Bahrain	0	French Polynesia	0	Micronesia		South Africa
©	Bangladesh	0	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	0	Moldova	0	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
	Barbados	0	Gabon	0	Monaco	0	South Korea
	Belarus		Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
0	Belgium	0	Germany	0	Montenegro		Spain
	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka
	Benin	0	Gibraltar		Morocco		Sudan
	Bermuda	0	Greece		Mozambique		Suriname
0	Bhutan	0	Greenland	0	Myanmar/Burma	0	Svalbard and Jan Mayen
	Bolivia		Grenada	0	Namibia	0	Sweden
	Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba	©	Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	0	Switzerland
0	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	Guam	0	Nepal	0	Syria
	Botswana		Guatemala		Netherlands		Taiwan
	Bouvet Island		Guernsey		New Caledonia		Tajikistan
	Brazil		Guinea		New Zealand		Tanzania
0	British Indian Ocean Territory	0	Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand

0	British Virgin		Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
	Islands						
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and	0	Niue		Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso		Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern		Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea		Trinidad and
							Tobago
	Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia		Tunisia
0	Canada		India		Norway	0	Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
							Caicos Islands
	Central African		Iraq		Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine		Uganda
	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
0	China		Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
0	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines		United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
0	Comoros		Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam
	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia		Wallis and
							Futuna

0	Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy	Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
			Ascension and	
			Tristan da Cunha	
	Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the		Nevis	
	Congo			
	Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Looking back

As mentioned in the Inception Impact assessment, the revision aims to tackle the following problems:

- Unmet medical needs and market failures for medicines other than medicines for rare diseases and children;
- Unequal access to available and affordable medicines for patients across the EU;
- The current legislative framework may not be fully equipped to respond quickly to innovation;
- Inefficiency and administrative burden of regulatory procedures;
- Vulnerability of supply of medicines, shortages of medicines;
- Environmental challenges and sustainability;
- Any other issues, which might emerge from the evaluation.

Q1 In your opinion, are there any other issues that should be addressed in this revision?

800 character(s) maximum

EurEau calls on the European Commission to adopt a life-cycle approach, based on source-control, when revising the pharmaceuticals legislation. End-of-pipe solutions are not economically nor environmentally sustainable. The revision should focus on:

- -Development of green chemistry
- -The ERA must become an integral part of the risk-benefit analysis under the authorization procedure and consider the whole life cycle of products
- -The ERA must be available for ALL pharmaceuticals
- -OTC sale should be restricted for products with negative environmental profile (sold under prescription only).
- -The same mechanism under art. 44 Regulation 1107/2009/EC should be included in the pharmaceutical legislation: if the EQS is exceeded, authorisation should be reviewed & mitigation measures taken

Q2 How has the legislation performed in terms of the following elements?

	Very well	Well	Moderately	Poorly	Very poorly	Don' t know
Fulfilling its public health protection mission for patients and society.	0	0	0	0	0	•
Promoting the development of new medicines, especially for unmet medical needs.	0	0	0	0	0	•
3. Enabling timely development of medicines at all times, including during crises.	0	0	0	0	0	•
Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation, of medicines in normal times.	0	0	0	0	0	•
5. Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation during crises.	0	0	0	0	0	•

6. Adapting efficiently and effectively to technological and scientific advancements and innovation.	0	0	0	0	0	•
7. Ensuring medicines are of high quality, safe and effective.	0	0	0	0	0	•
8. Addressing the competitive functioning of the market to support affordability.	0	0	0	0	0	•
9. Ensuring the availability of generic ³ and biosimilar ⁴ medicines.						
[3] "Generic" is a copy of a medicine based on simple or chemical molecules. [4] "Biosimilar" is a copy of a medicine based on biological molecules.	•	0	©	0	0	•
10. Ensuring that new medicines are timely available to patients in all EU countries.	0	0	0	0	0	•
11. Ensuring that medicines stay on the market at all times and that there are no shortages.	0	0	0	0	0	•
12. Ensuring that authorised medicines are manufactured, used and disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner.	0	0	0	0	•	0
13. Ensuring that the EU system for development, authorisation and monitoring of medicines, including its rules and procedures, is understandable and easy to navigate.	0	0	•	•	0	0
14. Attracting global investment for medicine innovation in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	•

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, including positive or unintended effects of the legislation, or would you like to justify your replies?

800 character(s) maximum

The current legislation fails to take a life-cycle approach to pharmaceuticals. We call on the Commission to takes a holistic approach to them, including the fate of their residues in the environment. It is essential that the Commission speeds-up the ERA catch up procedure for pharmaceuticals authorized before 2006. The ERA should be taken fully into account in the broader risk-benefit analysis and must be conducted prior to the authorization and the results should be publicly available. Post marketing monitoring by the producers (e-ERA) is needed to gather data on the occurrence and the behaviour of the molecules, their degradation products and mixtures in the environment. Data should be made available upon request to parties with a legitimate interest and be used to review the authorisation.

Looking forward

This section reflects on possible solutions to address the problems identified in the inception impact assessment mentioned in the previous section.

Your contribution will help us in defining the way forward.

UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS

One of the aims of the strategy is to stimulate innovation and breakthrough therapies, especially in areas of 'unmet medical need'.

Regulators, health technology assessment experts and representatives of bodies responsible for reimbursing or paying for medicines ('payers') are discussing a definition or a set of principles for 'unmet medical needs' in order to achieve the objectives of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The discussions reveal different perceptions of what is an 'unmet medical need'. Convergence on this key concept should facilitate the design of clinical trials, generation of evidence and its assessment, and the quick availability on the market of these products and ensuring that innovation matches the needs of patients and of the national health systems.

The purpose of this question is to identify elements that are important in defining what is unmet medical need and in which areas of unmet medical need innovation should be stimulated.

[5] Please note that a similar discussion is taking place in the context of medicines for rare diseases and for children. The concept of 'unmet needs' in the context of rare diseases and children might be slightly differentiated compared to 'unmet needs' in the context of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

Q3 How important are the following elements for defining 'unmet medical needs'?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Seriousness of a disease.	0	0	0	0	0	•
Absence of satisfactory treatment authorised in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	•
3. A new medicine has major therapeutic advantage over existing treatment(s).	0	0	0	0	0	•
Lack of access for patients across the EU to an authorised treatment.	0	0	0	0	0	•
5. Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	•

80	00 character(s) maximum

INCENTIVES FOR INNOVATION

The general pharmaceutical legislation guarantees the pharmaceutical innovator, typically a company, regulatory data and market protection for its new medicinal product. This data protection makes sure that another pharmaceutical company cannot re-use the proprietary data of the innovator for 8 years. Market protection makes sure that a generic or biosimilar medicine cannot be marketed until 10 years after authorisation. This dual protection shields a pharmaceutical innovator from generics or biosimilars on the market for 10 years. This protection is part of the EU system of incentives for innovation. The EU regime of intellectual property protection provides an additional protection coverage but is beyond the scope of this questionnaire and the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

Q4 What do you think of the following measures to support innovation, including for 'unmet medical needs'?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
The current data and market protection periods for innovative medicines: 10 years of market protection, and 8 years of data protection.	0	0	0	0	0	•
2. Provide different data and market protection periods depending on the purpose of the medicine (i.e. longer period of protection in areas of unmet medical need).	0	0	0	0	0	•
3. Reduce the data and market protection periods to allow earlier access for generic and biosimilar medicines to the market.	0	0	0	0	0	•
4. Introduce new types of incentives ⁶ on top of the existing data and market protection for medicines addressing an 'unmet medical need'. [6] Examples of new incentives are a transferable exclusivity voucher or a priority review voucher. A transferable exclusivity voucher would give the legal right to extend the protection time period of any other patented medicinal product, in exchange for the successful regulatory approval of a specified medicine for unmet medical need (e.g. an antibiotic). The voucher would be transferable or saleable,	©	©	©	©	©	•
and may impact the turnover and profitability levels of other products in a developer's portfolio. A priority review voucher gives priority to the assessment of the application of the medicine in question or another medicine in the applicant's portfolio.						
5. Early scientific support and faster review/authorisation of a new promising medicine for an unmet medical need.	0	0	0	0	0	•
6. Public listing of priority therapeutic areas of high unmet medical need to support product development by providing incentives.	0	0	0	0	0	•
7. Require transparent reporting from companies about their research and development costs and public funding as a condition to obtain certain incentives.	0	0	0	0	0	•
8. Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	•

800 character(s) maximum

Public health protection is not the monopoly of the pharmaceutical industry. Other sectors, like for instance water services, contribute to the protection of human health. In order to do so, transparency of data by pharmaceutical manufacturers on the intrinsic properties of the active substance and the fate of the products in the environment is essential to guarantee safe and affordable water services.

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE⁷

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the ability of microorganisms (such as bacteria, viruses, fungi or parasites) to survive and grow over time and no longer respond to medicines making infections harder to treat and increasing the risk of infections, severe illness and death. Antimicrobials include antibiotics, which are substances that fight bacterial infections. Overprescribing, overuse and inappropriate use of antibiotics are key drivers of AMR, leading to harmful health outcomes. The question below is intended to collect opinions on both the incentives for the development of new antimicrobials as well as possible option on their prudent use.

[7] amr_2017_action-plan.pdf (europa.eu).

Q5 Should there be specific regulatory incentives for the development of new antimicrobials while taking into account the need for more prudent use and if so what should they be?

1	1000 character(s) maximum										

FUTURE PROOFING: ADAPTED, AGILE AND PREDICTABLE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR NOVEL PRODUCTS

Novel products and innovative solutions continue to challenge the understanding of a "medicinal product" with low volume, and cutting-edge products (e.g. medicines combined with self-learning artificial intelligence) becoming a new reality. 'Bedside' manufacture of more individualised medicines changes the way medicines are produced. There are classification and interplay challenges with other medical products, such as medical devices and substances of human origin, or related to the combination of clinical trials with in vitro diagnostics/medical devices and medicines. In addition, certain cell-based advanced therapy medicines⁸ are offered in hospital settings and are exempted from aspects of the pharmaceutical legislation. These developments offer possibilities for novel promising treatments and new ways of authorising and monitoring medicines but they are also testing the limits of the current regulatory system. They need to be addressed to unfold their potential while safeguarding the principles of high quality, safety and efficacy of medicines.

Digital transformation is affecting the discovery, development, manufacture, evidence generation, assessment, supply and use of medicines. Medicines, medical technologies and digital health are becoming increasingly integral to overarching therapeutic options. These include systems based on artificial intelligence for prevention, diagnosis, better treatment, therapeutic monitoring and data for personalised medicines and other healthcare applications.

[8] Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) are medicines for human use that are based on genes, tissues or cells. They offer ground-breaking new opportunities for the treatment of disease and injury.

Q6 How would you assess the following measures to create an adapted, agile and predictable regulatory framework for novel products?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	0	•
2. Create a central mechanism in close coordination with other concerned authorities (e.g. those responsible for medical devices, substances of human origins) to provide non-binding scientific advice on whether a treatment/product should be classified as a medicine or not.	0	0	0	0	0	•
3. Make use of the possibility for 'regulatory sandboxes',9 in legislation to pilot certain categories of novel products/technologies.						
[9] Some very innovative solutions fail to see the light of day because of regulations which might be outdated or poorly adapted for fast evolving technologies. One way to address this is through regulatory sandboxes. This enables innovative solutions not already foreseen in regulations or guidelines to be live-tested with supervisors and regulators, provided that the appropriate conditions are in place, for example to ensure equal treatment. Regulatory sandboxes provide up-to-date information to regulators and supervisors on, and experience with, new technology, while enabling policy experimentation. See COM(2020) 103 final.	•	•	•	•	•	•
4. Create adaptive regulatory frameworks (e.g. adapted requirements for authorisation and monitoring with possibility to adjust easily to scientific progress) for certain novel types of medicines or low volume products (hospital preparations) in coherence with other legal frameworks (e.g. medical devices and substances of human origin ¹⁰) and respecting the principles of quality, safety and efficacy.	©	0	©	0	©	•
[10] Substances that are donated by humans such as blood, plasma, cells, gametes, tissues and organs and are applied as therapy. Some substances of human origin can also become starting materials to manufacture medicines.						

5. Introduce an EU-wide centrally coordinated process for early dialogue and more coordination among clinical trial, marketing authorisation, health technology assessment bodies, pricing and reimbursement authorities and payers for integrated medicines development and post-authorisation monitoring.	•	•	•	•	•	0
6. Other (please specify)	•	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Post authorisation monitoring by the producers (e-ERA) is needed to gather data on the occurrence and on the behaviour of the molecules, their degradation products and mixtures in the aquatic environment. Data should be made available upon request to parties with a legitimate interest and then be used to assess whether the authorisation should be reviewed.

Q7. Do you think that certain definitions and the scope of the legislation need to be updated to reflect scientific and technological developments in the sector (e.g. personalised medicines, bedside manufacturing, artificial intelligence) and if so what would you propose to change?

1000 character(s) maximum

Packages should contain smaller doses of medications, as to avoid the risk that unused drugs be disposed by households inappropriately.

The approach of personalised medicines at hospital level should be applied as well. Existing experiences of cross-sectoral cooperation such as the research project "Development of a Compounds Flux Model and a Compendium for reducing Micro-pollutants with regards to receiving Water Quality" ("MikroModell") should be replicated. The project took place from October 2015 to June 2020 coordinated by Dresden University of Technology in cooperation with inter alia Stadtentwässerung Dresden GmbH and delivered quite interesting results in cooperation with the medical and pharmacy community of the University Hospital Dresden.

REWARDS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPROVED ACCESS TO MEDICINES

Some medicines and therapies do not always reach patients in all EU countries, so patients in the EU still have different levels of access to medicines, depending on where they live. Even if a medicine received an EU-wide authorisation, companies are currently not obliged to market it in all EU countries. A company may decide not to market its medicines in, or decide to withdraw them from, one or more countries. This can be due to various factors, such as national pricing and reimbursement policies, size of the population and level of wealth, the organisation of health systems and national administrative procedures. Smaller markets in particular face challenges for availability and supplies of medicines.

Q8 How would you assess the following measures to improve patient access to medicines across the EU?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules which provide no obligation to market medicines in all EU countries.	•	•	•	0	0	•

2. Require companies to notify their market launch intentions to regulators at the time of the authorisation of the medicine.	0	0	•	0	0	•
3. Introduce incentives for swift market launch across the EU.	0	0	0	©	©	•
4. Allow early introduction of generics in case of delayed market launch of medicines across the EU, while respecting intellectual property rights.	0	•	•	©	©	•
5. Require companies to place – within a certain period after authorisation – a medicine on the market of the majority of Member States, that includes small markets.	•	•	•	•	•	•
6. Require companies withdrawing a medicine from the market to offer another company to taker over the medicine.	0	0	0	0	0	•
7. Introduce rules on electronic product information to replace the paper package leaflet.	0	0	0	0	0	•
8. Introduce harmonised rules for multi-country packages of medicines.	0	0	0	0	0	•
9. Other (please specify).	•	0	0	0	0	©

800 character(s) maximum

Pharmaceuticals should be environmentally classified: look at the experience of the Stockholm County Council ("Environmentally classified pharmaceuticals", 2021). Background to the classification: https://janusinfo.se/beslutsstod/lakemedelochmiljo/pharmaceuticalsandenvironment.4.7 b57ecc216251fae47487d9a.html

Environmentally hazardous drug substances developed under the Stockholm County Council's Environmental Program 2017-2021 https://janusinfo.se/download/18.7ea3e81f166a3423a9d1b00f /1540468908295/Table-of-environmentally-hazardous-drug-substances-SLL-2017-2021.pdf The environment impact of drugs should be easily recognised by the patients/consumers. An eco-label should be put on the packaging according to the classification.

ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVE FUNCTIONING OF THE MARKET TO ENSURE AFFORDABLE MEDICINES

The affordability of medicines has implications for both public and household finances. It poses a growing challenge to pay for medicines in the majority of Member States. Often, innovative medicines have higher prices, while there are growing concerns among stakeholders about the real-life effectiveness of some medicines and related overall costs. This puts the budgetary sustainability of health systems at risk, and reduces the possibilities for patients to have access to these medicines. Generics and biosimilars¹¹ of medicines which no longer benefit from intellectual property protection (off-patent medicines) may provide accessible and affordable treatments. They also increase the availability of alternative treatment options for patients. They may also increase competition between available medicines. However, experience shows that there are still barriers for medicines entering the EU market, including for generics or biosimilars.

[11] "Generics" are copies of medicines based on simple or chemical molecules; "biosimilars" are copies of medicines based on biological molecules.

Q9 In your view, to what extent would the following measures support access to affordable medicines?

	To a great extent	To a certain extent	No change	Very little	Not at all	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	0	•
2. Stimulate earlier market entry through a broader possibility to authorise generics /biosimilars despite ongoing patent protection ('Bolar exemption') ¹² . [12] The Bolar exemption allows companies to conduct research on patent protected medicines under the condition that it is with a view to apply for a marketing authorisation for a generic.	©	•	©	•	•	•
3. Create a specific (regulatory) incentive for a limited number of biosimilars that come to the market first.	0	0	0	0	0	•

Introduce an EU-wide scientific recommendation on interchangeability for specific biosimilars.	0	0	0	0	0	•
5. Introduce other, non-legislative measures, such as joint procurement to reinforce competition while addressing security of supply and environmental challenges.	•	•	•	•	0	0
6. Other (please specify).	•	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Introduce legislative measures to support green procurement to address environmental challenges

REPURPOSING OF MEDICINES

Repurposing is the process of identifying a new use for an established medicine in a disease or condition other than that it is currently authorised for. Repurposing of older (off-patent) medicines constitutes an emerging and dynamic field of medicines development, often led by academic units and medical research charities, with the potential for faster development times and reduced costs as well as lower risks for companies. This is because repurposing commonly starts with substances that have already been tested and many have demonstrated an acceptable level of safety and tolerability. The objective is to identify the opportunities and address any regulatory burdens to facilitate repurposing of off-patent, affordable medicines.

Q10 What measures could stimulate the repurposing of off-patent medicines and provide additional uses of the medicine against new diseases and medical conditions? Please justify your answers.

1000 character(s) maximum	

SECURITY OF SUPPLY OF MEDICINES

Shortages of medicines and the vulnerabilities in the pharmaceutical supply chain continue to be concerns in the EU. Shortages of medicines can have serious impacts on patient care. Under the current pharmaceutical legislation, pharmaceutical companies and wholesalers must, within the limits of their responsibilities, ensure a continued supply of medicines once they are placed on the market in the EU. Companies must also notify national authorities at least two months before an expected shortage or planned market withdrawal.

Q11 What is your view on the following measures to ensure security of supply of medicines in the EU?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	0	•
2. Earlier reporting of shortages and market withdrawals to national authorities in a common format.	0	0	0	0	0	•
3. Companies to have shortage prevention plans.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Companies to have safety stocks.	0	0	0	0	0	•
5. Monitoring of supply and demand at national level.	0	0	0	0	0	•
6. Introduce a shortage monitoring system at EU level.	0	0	0	0	0	•
7. Require companies to diversify their supply chains, in particular the number of key suppliers of medicines and components.	0	0	0	0	0	•
8. Companies to provide more information to regulators on their supply chain.	0	0	0	0	0	•
9. Introduce penalties for non-compliance by companies with proposed new obligations.	0	0	0	0	0	•
10. EU coordination to help identify areas where consolidation in the supply chain has reduced the number of suppliers.	0	0	0	0	0	•
11. Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0

80	00 character(s) maximum		

QUALITY AND MANUFACTURING

Medicines manufactured for the EU market must comply with the principles and guidelines of good manufacturing practice (GMP). GMP describes the minimum standard that a medicines manufacturer must meet in their production processes. GMP requires that medicines are of consistent high quality, are appropriate for their intended use and meet the requirements of the marketing authorisation or clinical trial authorisation.

Q12 What is your opinion of the following measures to ensure manufacturing and distribution of high quality products?

	Very adequate	Adequate	Neutral	Less adequate	Not adequate	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	•	0
Strengthen manufacturing and oversight rules.	•	0	0	0	0	0
Adapt manufacturing rules to reflect new manufacturing methods.	0	0	•	0	0	0
4. Include selected environmental requirements for manufacturing of medicines in line with the one health approach on antimicrobial resistance ¹³ .	@					
[13] The one-health approach is a holistic and multi-sectorial approach to addressing antimicrobial resistance since antimicrobials used to treat infectious diseases in animals may be the same or be similar to those used in humans.						
5. Increase Member State cooperation and surveillance of the supply chain in the EU and third countries.	•	0	0	0	0	0

6. Strengthen and clarify responsibilities of business operators over the entire supply chain on sharing information on quality, safety and efficacy.	•	•	•	•	0	•
7. Other (please specify).	•	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Include selected environmental requirements for manufacturing of medicines in line with the one health approach on the pollution from pharmaceutical residues, recognizing the responsibility of the producers according to the polluter-pays principle, including through Extended Producers Responsibility (EPR). Similar systems for EPR are now, under the Single Use Plastic Directive, implemented in the Members States.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES

While access to pharmaceuticals is a priority, it is also important that the environmental impacts of those pharmaceuticals are as low as possible. The environmental risk assessments (ERAs) is currently not taken into account in the overall benefit/risk analysis which influences the delivery of a marketing authorisation (MA) of a medicine. ERA can influence risk management measures. Yet, ERA results are not decisive in the MA process.

Q13 How would you assess the following measures to ensure that the environmental challenges emerging from human medicines are addressed?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	•	0
2. Strengthen the environmental risk assessment during authorisation of a medicine, including risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.	•	0	0	0	0	0
3. Harmonize environmental risk assessment by national regulators, including risk mitigation measures.	•	0	0	0	0	0
4. Increase information to the health care professionals and the general public about the assessment of environmental risks of medicines.	•	0	0	0	0	0
5. Allow companies to use existing data about environmental risks for authorisations of a new medicine to avoid duplicating tests.	•	0	0	0	0	0
6. Other (please specify).	•	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Implement the recommendations of the Commission Communication on a Strategic Approach to Pharmaceuticals in the Environment from March 2019.

Take fully into account the ERA in the risk-benefit analysis of the MA.

If a pharmaceutical is harmful for the water environment and is identified as a priority substance with EQS, it should be sold only under medical prescription and its over-the—counter (OTC) sale must be banned.

As mentioned in the Roadmap, consider advertising, marketing and prescription practices of pharmaceuticals having a negative environmental impact.

Include environmental aspects in educational programmes of healthcare professionals.

Include a mechanism like the one of art. 44 Regulation 1107/2009/EC (review authorisation if EQS is exceeded).

Q14 Is there anything else you would like to add that has not been covered in this consultation?

900 character(s) maximum

Promoting a more prudent use of pharmaceuticals a healthier lifestyles would be desirable in order to decrease the consumption of certain types of medicines.

To improve the management of unused medicine, "take back" schemes should be harmonised through the EU, as to render the process more obvious to consumers/patients, encouraging them to dispose of unused and expired drugs properly. Recollection systems have been required since 2004, but the implementation has been deficient in several Member States.

Q15 In case you would like to share a document that substantiates your replies, please upload it below (optional).

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

f80d1d06-e429-4e46-9620-3f3593dfbff4/2021.12.03
_EurEau _reading _material _Pharma_legislation_public_consultation.pdf

Contact

EU-PHARMACEUTICAL-STRATEGY@EC.EUROPA.EU